

OCT 13 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Byoung-Sun Na et al.  
Title: Liquid Crystal Display And Substrate Thereof  
Application No.: 09/852,717 Filing Date: May 11, 2001  
Examiner: Fazli ERDEM Group Art Unit: 2875  
Docket No.: AB-1760 US Confirmation No. 5198

Irvine, California  
October 13, 2006

Via Facsimile to (571) 273-8300

Mail Stop AMENDMENT  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that the following documents are being facsimile transmitted to the  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below:

## 1) REPLY TO ELECTION/RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Dated: 10-13-, 2006

Saundra L. Carr  
Saundra L. Carr

Number of pages (including this sheet): 3

MacPherson Kwok Chen & Heid LLP  
2033 Gateway Place, Ste. 400  
San Jose, CA 95110

Telephone: (949) 752-7040  
Fax: (408) 392-9262 and (949) 752-7049

LAW OFFICES OF  
MACPHERSON KWOK CHEN  
& HEID LLP

1763 TECHNOLOGY DRIVE  
SUITE 226  
SAN JOSE, CA 95110  
(949) 752-7040  
FAX (408) 392-9262

Fax to USPTO

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

App. No.:	09/852,717	Conf. No.:	5193
Applicants:	Byoung-Sun Na, et al.	Examiner:	Fazli Erdem
Filed:	05/11/2001	Art Unit:	2875
Docket. No.:	AB-1760 US	Ref. No.:	OPP990852US

OCT 13 2006

Via Facsimile to (571) 273-8300

Mail Stop: Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Irvine, CA  
October 13, 2006

## REPLY TO ELECTION/RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

In Reply to the Election/Restriction Requirement of 09/13/2006, the Applicant provisionally elects Group I, Claims 1-6 and 11-31 for prosecution, with traverse, for the reasons stated in the following Remarks.

## REMARKS

In the Election/Restriction Requirement of 09/13/06, the Examiner restricted this application to one of two purportedly "distinct" inventions under 35 U.S.C. 121, and required an election between them for prosecution. This Election/Restriction Requirement is respectfully traversed, for the following reasons.

35 U.S.C. 121 provides that, "If two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in one application, the Director may require the application to be restricted to one of the inventions." (Emphasis added.)

However, the "Director" has interpreted the plain meaning of the above language to mean "two or more independent or distinct inventions." (MPEP 803.01, emphasis added.)

In the 09/13/06 Election/Restriction Requirement, the Examiner concedes that the inventions claimed in the present application are "related," (*i.e.*, not independent), as "process of making and product made," but contends they are distinct because, "In the instant case in [dependent] claim 34, [the] black matrix and the protrusion could be formed in two separate photolithography